#### Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name: Robert J. Maisonville	Phone: 519-776-6441 ext. 1328
Title: Director of Corporate Services/Treasurer	
Municipality: Corporation of the County of Essex	
E-mail: rmaisonville@countyofessex.on.ca	

**Related documents and links:** 

Municipal Performance Measurement Handbook: http://www.mah.gov.on.ca/Page314.aspx

## **Local Government**

CONTACT PERSON FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT: Robert Maisonville, Dir. of Corp. Services/Treasurer

	1.1 GEN	ERAL GOVER	NMENT - EFF	ICIENCY		
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.5%	0.8%
	Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs.	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient local government.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERS	TANDING RESU	JLTS:			
	Operating costs for municipal administration Total municipal operating costs in 2013 = 3 Total costs for municipal administration In	\$95,898,200.				
	Total municipal costs in 2013 = \$110,209,					
	REFERENCE: • The total costs efficiency measure was MPMP plus amortization and interest on lo • The 2009 formulas for operating costs Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) Statement of Operations: Expenses.	ong-term debt. and total costs w	ere changed to	net out payment	ts to the Municip	bal
-	• Financial Information Return: 91 0206 3	35 (Operating co	sts measure) an	d 91 0206 45 (T	otal costs meas	sure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

### Roads

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR ROADS: Tom Bateman, County Engineer

		4.1	PAVED R	DAE	DS – EFFIC	CIE	NCY				
			2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
4.1 a)	Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	5,863.39	\$	6,304.30	\$	6,487.45	\$	6,819.54	\$	8,000.13
4.1 b)	Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	10,117.92	\$	9,117.33	\$	10,156.33	\$	10,148.36	\$	11,225.89
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient maintenance of paved roads.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	ERS	STANDING F	RES	ULTS:						
4.1 a)	Total 2013 operating costs for paved ro	bad	s = \$8,812,6	73.							
4.1 b)	Total lane kilometres for the County of Essex = 1,503.										
	<ul> <li>From Total 2013 costs for paved roads = \$15,207,234.</li> <li>REFERENCE:         <ul> <li>The efficiency measure based on total costs was introduced in 2009. Total costs means operating costs as defined in MPMP plus amortization and interest on long-term debt.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>										

• Financial Information Return: 91 2111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2111 45 (Total costs measure).

	4.3 BI	ridgi	ES AND	CUL	/ERTS –	EFF	CIENCY					
			2013		2012		2011		2010		2009	
4.3 a)	Operating costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$	26.61	\$	14.93	\$	21.68	\$	6.52	\$	12.03	
4.3 b)	per square metre of surface area.         \$         66.48         \$         52.96         \$         58.90         \$         41.37         \$         42.51											
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient maintenance of bridges and culverts.											
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UND	ERST	ANDING F	RESUI	_TS:							
4.3 a)												
4.3 b)	Total square metres of surface area or 2013 total costs for bridges and culver	•			= 31,775.							
	<ul> <li>REFERENCE:</li> <li>The efficiency measures for bridges and culverts were introduced in 2009. Total costs means operating costs as defined in MPMP plus amortization and interest on long-term debt.</li> </ul>											
	<ul> <li>Financial Information Return: 91 21</li> </ul>	30 35	(Operatin	g cost	s measure	e) and	91 2130	45 (To	otal costs r	neası	ure).	

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

	4.4 WINTE		2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
4.4 a)	Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	1,774.61	\$	1,253.75	\$	1,750.36	\$	1,282.52	\$	1,312.04
4.4 b)	Total costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	1,904.26	\$	1,408.65	\$	1,888.08	\$	1,411.86	\$	1,436.32
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient winter maintenance of roads.										
4.4 a) 4.4 b)	Total lane kilometres for the County of Essex = 1,503.										
	<ul> <li>Winter control total costs for 2013 = \$2,862,100</li> <li>REFERENCE:         <ul> <li>The total costs efficiency measure was introduced in 2009. Total costs means operating costs as defined in MPMP plus amortization and interest on long-term debt.</li> <li>Financial Information Return: 91 2205 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2205 45 (Total costs measure)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>										

		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009						
4.5	Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good. <sup>1</sup>	75.7%	86.5%	86.4%	86.2%	84.1%						
	OBJECTIVE: Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.											
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RES	ULTS:									
	Total number of paved lane kilometres tested = 1,503. Total number of paved lane km rated as good to very good = 1,138. Clearer determination of pavement condition in 2013 using OGRA method											
	Clearer determination of pavement condition in 2013 using OGRA method <b>REFERENCE:</b> • <sup>1</sup> Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association (OGRA) or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).											

• Financial Information Return: 92 2152 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

	4.6 ADEQUACY OF BRIDGES AND CULVERTS – EFFECTIVENESS												
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009							
4.6	Percentage of bridges and culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good. <sup>1</sup>	89%	89%	88%	88%	88%							
	OBJECTIVE: Safe bridges and culverts.												
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:												
	Number of bridges and culverts where the condition of primary components is rated as good to very good, requiring only repair = 175. Total number of bridges and culverts = 197. Excludes culverts of span less than 3m.												
	<ul><li><b>REFERENCE:</b></li><li>The effectiveness measure for bridgeness measure for bridgenes</li></ul>	ges and culverts	was introduced	l in 2009.									
	<ul> <li><sup>1</sup>A bridge or culvert is rated in good to very good condition if distress to the primary components is minimal, requiring only maintenance. Primary components are the main load carrying components of the structure, including the deck, beams, girders, abutments, foundations, etc.</li> </ul>												
	Financial Information Return: 92 2165 07.												

	4.7 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES – EFFECTIVENESS											
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009						
4.7	Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%						
	<b>OBJECTIVE:</b> Response to winter storm events meets locally determined service levels for winter road maintenance.											
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	ERSTANDING R	RESULTS:									
The total number of days that required a "winter event response" was the number of days during which weather conditions required municipal action. In 2013, there were 74 such days.												
	<ul> <li>REFERENCE:</li> <li>Financial Information Return: 92 2251 07.</li> </ul>											

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

### **Storm Water**

CONTACT PERSON FOR STORM WATER: Tom Bateman, County Engineer

	7.2 RURAL S	STOF	RM WATE	RM	ANAGEM	ENT	– EFFIC	ENC	Y	
			2013		2012		2011		2010	
7.2 a)	Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage	\$	186.71	\$	232.65	\$	366.96	\$	177.30	
7.2 b)	Total costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage	\$	226.04	\$	271.98	\$	400.49	\$	205.04	
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient rural storm water managemen	nt.								
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	ERST	anding f	RESU	ILTS:					
7.2 a)	Total 2013 operating costs for rural dra	•	•		-					
7.2 b)	Total kilometres of rural drainage syste Total 2013 costs for rural drainage sys				Essex = 1,3	323.				
	REFERENCE:									
	<ul> <li>REFERENCE:</li> <li>The efficiency measure based on total costs is new for 2009. Total costs means operating costs as d MPMP plus amortization and interest on long-term debt.</li> </ul>									
	• Financial Information Return: 91 32	10 35		a	to mooour		4 01 2210	AE /T	atal agata	

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

# Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

CONTACT PERSON FOR SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT: Eli Maodus, General Manager

	9.2	GAR	BAGE DI	SPO	SAL – EF	FICI	ENCY				
			2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
9.2 a)	Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne.	\$	38.53	\$	44.58	\$	44.46	\$	39.24	\$	46.56
9.2 b)	Total costs for garbage disposal per tonne.	\$	70.60	\$	79.38	\$	73.65	\$	69.91	\$	80.85
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UND	ERST	anding f	ESU	LTS:						
9.2 a)				eflects	s the Cour	ntv's 5	0% share)				
9.2 b)	Total tonnes disposed, all property classes = 94,002 (reflects the County's 50% share) b) Total solid waste disposal costs = \$6,636,419.										
	<ul> <li>For a solid waste disposal costs = \$6,636,419.</li> <li>REFERENCE:         <ul> <li>The efficiency measure based on total costs was introduced in 2009. Total costs means operating costs as defined in MPMP plus amortization and interest on long-term debt.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>										

• Financial Information Return: 91 3504 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3504 45 (Total costs measure).

	9.3 SOLID W	AST	E DIVERS	ION	(RECYCI	ING	i) — EFFIC		CY		
			2013		2012		2011		2010		2009
9.3 a)	Operating costs for solid waste diversion per tonne.	\$	152.18	\$	163.15	\$	164.19	\$	158.29	\$	164.81
9.3 b)	Total costs for solid waste diversion per tonne.	\$	167.79	\$	178.35	\$	180.19	\$	176.05	\$	187.38
	OBJECTIVE: Efficient solid waste diversion (recycling) services.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UND	ERST	ANDING F	RESU	LTS:						
9.3 a)	Total tonnes diverted = 28,395.* Solid	wast	e diversion	oper	ating costs	<b>s</b> = \$4	1,321,116.*				
9.3 b)	(*reflects the County's 50% share) Total solid waste diversion costs = \$4,	764,4	74.*								
	<ul> <li>REFERENCE:</li> <li>The efficiency measure based on total costs was introduced in 2009. Total costs means operating costs as defined in MPMP plus amortization and interest on long-term debt.</li> </ul>										
	• Financial Information Return: 91 36	606 35	5 (Operatin	g cos	ts measure	e) an	d 91 3606 ·	45 (T	otal costs r	neas	ure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

	9.5 COMPLAINTS - CO			AND RECYCL	ED MATERIA	S
						20
		Incerning the collection of e and recycled materials per losuseholds. TTVE: ed collection of garbage and recycled materials. S & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS: umber of complaints received = 135. Total number of households served = 67,873 TENCE: ncial Information Return: 92 3452 07. 9,6 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES EFFECTIVENESS umber of solid waste ement facilities owned by the pality with a Ministry of ment certificate of approval. TTVE: tor solid waste management facility compliance measure. RENCE: ancial Information Return: 92 3552 07. 9,7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE – EFFECTIVENESS r of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an water standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility. (Name Days Days Days Days 2010 0 0 0 0 TTVE: all solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment. RENCE: ility Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 03 in Financial Information Return. is: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 03 in Financial Information Return. is: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 03 in Financial Information Return. is: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 07. <b>SUBERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE</b> EFFECTIVENESS all solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.		2009		
9.5	Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.	2	4	2	4	3
	OBJECTIVE: Improved collection of garbage and red	cycled materials.				
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UND	ERSTANDING R	ESULTS:			
	Total number of complaints received =	135. Total num	per of househol	ds served = 67,8	373	
	<ul><li>REFERENCE:</li><li>Financial Information Return: 92 345</li></ul>	52 07.				
	9.6 NUMBER OF M			ANAGEMENT	FACILITIES	
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
9.6	Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment certificate of approval.	4	4	4	4	4
	<b>OBJECTIVE:</b> Context for solid waste management fa	acility compliance	e measure.			
	<ul><li><b>REFERENCE:</b></li><li>Financial Information Return: 92 35</li></ul>	552 07.				
	9.7 FA	CILITY COMPL	IANCE – EFF	ECTIVENESS		
9.7		•	•		-	
FIR line #	Facility Name	2013	2012	2011	2010	<b>Days</b> 2009
3553		0	0	0	0	0
	OBJECTIVE: Municipal solid waste services do not h	nave an adverse i	impact on envir	onment.		
	REFERENCE:           • Facility Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 35           • Days: 92 3553 07 to 92 3562 07.	62 03 in Financia	al Information R	eturn.		
	9 8 DIV		SIDENTIAL			
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
9.8	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.	36%	37%	35%	36%	35%
	<b>OBJECTIVE:</b> Municipal solid waste reduction progra	ms divert waste f	rom landfills an	d/or incinerators	3.	
	REFERENCE:					
	<ul> <li>Financial Information Return: 92 36</li> </ul>	55 07.				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

## Libraries

CONTACT PERSON FOR LIBRARIES: Janet Woodbridge, Chief Librarian

	11.1 LIB	RARY	COSTS	PER PI	ERSO	N — E	FFICIEN	CY			
			2013		2012		2011		2010		200
11.1 a)	Operating costs for library services per person.	\$	25.40	\$	25.06	\$	23.43	\$	23.26	\$	22.28
11.1 b)	Total costs for library services per person.	\$	29.73	\$	29.56	\$	27.93	\$	27.69	\$	26.47
	<b>OBJECTIVE:</b> Efficient library services.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UND	ERST	ANDING F	RESULT	S:						
	) Total operating costs for library services = \$4,487,286. Total population = 176,642 ) Total costs for library services = \$5,251,355.										
	<ul> <li>REFERENCE:</li> <li>The efficiency measure based on total costs was introduced in 2009. Total costs means operating costs as defined in MPMP plus amortization and interest on long-term debt as reported in the FIR.</li> <li>Financial Information Return: 91 7405 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7405 45 (Total costs measure).</li> </ul>										
	11.2 L	IBRA	RY COS	<b>FS PER</b>	USE -	- EFF	ICIENCY	·			
	11.2 L	IBRA	RY COS <sup>-</sup> 2013	rs per	USE - 2012	EFF	<b>ICIENCY</b> 2011		2010		200
11.2 a)	Operating costs for library services per use.	IBRAI \$		<b>TS PER</b> \$		- <b>EF</b> \$		\$	2010 1.57	\$	
	Operating costs for library services		2013		2012		2011			\$ \$	0.56
	Operating costs for library services per use. Total costs for library services per	\$	2013 1.66	\$	2012 0.43	\$	2011 1.70	\$	1.57		200 0.56 0.67
	Operating costs for library services per use. Total costs for library services per use. OBJECTIVE:	\$	2013 1.66 1.94	\$ \$	2012 0.43 0.51	\$	2011 1.70	\$	1.57		0.56
11.2 b)	Operating costs for library services per use. Total costs for library services per use. <b>OBJECTIVE:</b> Efficient library services.	\$ \$ ERST/ es = \$ <sup>2</sup>	2013 1.66 1.94	\$ \$ RESULT:	2012 0.43 0.51 <b>S:</b>	\$	2011 1.70 2.02	\$	1.57		0.56
11.2 b) 11.2 a)	Operating costs for library services per use. Total costs for library services per use. OBJECTIVE: Efficient library services. NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UND Total operating costs for library service	\$ \$ ERST/ es = \$ <sup>2</sup> 51,355 otal co	2013 1.66 1.94 ANDING F I,487,286.	\$ \$ RESULT: Total lit	2012 0.43 0.51 S: brary us	\$ \$ ses = 2	2011 1.70 2.02 2,704,163	\$	1.57	\$	0.56

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

	11.	3 LIBRARY USE	S – EFFECTIVI	ENESS							
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009					
11.3	Library uses per person.	15.31	58.52	13.81	14.83	39.70					
	OBJECTIVE: Increased use of library services.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS: Total library uses = 2,704,163. Population = 176,642.										
	Reduction in usage due in part to temporary closure of Woodslee branch due to construction and the temporary relocation of the Lasalle branch.										
	• Financial Information Return: 92 74	460 07.									
	11.4 ELEC		RY USES – EFF	ECTIVENESS	6						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009					
11.4	Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.	41%	84%	22%	17%	67%					
	OBJECTIVE: Better information on library usage.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:										
	Total library uses = 2,704,163. Electro	onic library uses = 1	,113,543.								
	<ul><li><b>REFERENCE:</b></li><li>Financial Information Return: 92 74</li></ul>	463 07.									
	11.5 NON - ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS										
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009					
11.5	Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.	59%	16%	78%	83%	33%					
	<b>OBJECTIVE:</b> Better information on library usage.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:										
	Total library uses = 2,704,163. Non-electronic library uses = 1,590,620.										
	<ul><li><b>REFERENCE:</b></li><li>Financial Information Return: 92 74</li></ul>	62 07.									